# HDR10 – higher degrees by researchqualifying panel report form

This form is to be used by the Qualifying panel to provide critical feedback to a candidate on their written and oral research proposals, and to report whether or not, or to what extent the candidate has successfully completed the Qualifying Unit. This will be a joint decision endorsed by all Panel members and based on the Panel’s assessment of key criteria.
The form is to be completed by the Panel Chairperson in consultation with Panel members. Information provided in this report will be accessible to the Candidate, their Supervisors, the Graduate School Director and the Research Committee. The form consists of two parts: A: Written Proposal and B: Oral Proposal.
Panel members may also find it helpful to use the form to make notes during the two-part review process, to be shared with fellow Qualifying Panel members at the post oral presentation, Qualifying Panel Recommendation Meeting.

This form is designed to be completed electronically before it is printed. Please complete as much of this form as possible on a computer before you print the form.

## SECTION 1 – candidate DETAILS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Surname |       |
| Given names |       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| HDR Program name | [ ]  Masters of Indigenous Perspectives[ ]  Doctor of Philosophy Indigenous Perspectives |
| Research proposal title |       |

## SECTION 2 – qualifying panel members DETAILS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |       |
| Email |       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |       |
| Email |       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |       |
| Email |       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |       |
| Email |       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |       |
| Email |       |

## Section 3 – Assessment weighting

The weighting of the two components (written and oral formats) will be determined by the Qualifying Panel in relation to the Candidates discipline area and their proposed research expression, presentation and dissemination, and/or on the advice of the Primary Supervisor.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Written proposal |       % |
| Oral proposal |       % |

## Section 4 - assessment

A. Written proposal: Assessment criteria

Ability to express ideas clearly and logically in written format.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Ability to select, analyse, synthesise and critically evaluate relevant material and literature pertaining to the field of study and demonstrate understanding of key concepts and issues.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Ability to provide a rationale for the significance of the project. How it will contribute to the relevant field(s) of study and provide original insight or new knowledge.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Demonstrated awareness of ethical issues and a clear understanding of suitable research methods.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Articulation of a suitable theoretical or conceptual framework for the proposed study.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

The capacity of the candidate for independent work, planned milestone completion and thesis submission in timeframes relevant to the award.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Summary of key points

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Additional comments

|  |
| --- |
|       |

B. Oral proposal: Assessment criteria

Ability to express ideas clearly and logically in oral formats.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Ability to select, analyse, synthesise and critically evaluate relevant material and literature pertaining to the field of study and demonstrate understanding of key concepts and issues.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Ability to provide a rationale for the significance of the project. How it will contribute to the relevant field(s) of study and provide original insight or new knowledge.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Demonstrated awareness of ethical issues and a clear understanding of suitable research methods.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Articulation of a suitable theoretical or conceptual framework for the proposed study.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

The capacity of the candidate for independent work, planned milestone completion and thesis submission in timeframes relevant to the award.

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Summary of key points

|  |
| --- |
|       |

Additional comments

|  |
| --- |
|       |

## section 5 – Qualifying panel recommendation

The following recommendation is endorsed by all members of the Qualifying Panel [ ]

Satisfactory completion of the Qualifying Unit and continuation of candidature [ ]

Revision of the Research Proposal and its re-presentation [ ]

Unsatisfactory completion of the Qualifying Unit [ ]

Chair of Qualifying panel signature Date

Name

Please return the completed report by email to the Graduate School Director; hdr@batchelor.edu.au

## office use only

Date received Graduate School Director signature